



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/886,859	06/21/2001	Hoang Tan Tran	41676/JMC/B600	6112	
32294	7590 05/06/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.			YANCHUS III, PAUL B		
14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT		, .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ORNER, VA 22182		2116	11	
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004	. !!	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SL

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/886,859	TRAN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication are	Paul B Yanchus	2116				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - External effect - If the - If NC - Failur Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ai</u>	ugust 2003.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
	1) Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.						
· ·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
·		election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠	10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 June 2001</u> is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen		A) []	.mm.ary (PTO 412)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date <u>5 and 10</u> .	5)  Notice of Inf 6)  Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
			<del>-</del>				

Art Unit: 2116

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Inventorship

In view of the papers filed on 1/17/02, it has been found that this nonprovisional application, as filed, through error and without deceptive intent, improperly set forth the inventorship, and accordingly, this application has been corrected in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(a). The inventorship of this application has been changed by adding Mark Berman as a joint inventor.

The application will be forwarded to the Office of Initial Patent Examination (OIPE) for issuance of a corrected filing receipt, and correction of the file jacket and PTO PALM data to reflect the inventorship as corrected.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5, 11-17 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bar-Niv, US Patent no. 6,442,142.

Art Unit: 2116

Regarding claim 1, Bar-Niv teaches a method of regulating transceiver power consumption in a communications network comprising:

monitoring data [incoming pulses] received by the transceiver to detect the presence or absence of a received data signal [column 1, lines 57-67]; and

controlling a transceiver state machine [energy-on state machine] to regulate transceiver power consumption in response to the presence or absence of the data received [column 2, lines 32-49].

Regarding claim 2, Bar-Niv teaches monitoring data received during a time period of normal operating power consumption [106 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 11-15 and 29-32, power is supplied to transceiver circuitry when ENERGYON is at level 1] and upon detecting the absence of a received signal for the first predetermined time [256 ms], controlling the transceiver state machine to regulate transceiver power consumption to be at minimized operating power [104 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 16-20 and 29-32, transceiver circuitry is powered down when ENERGYON is at level 0].

Regarding claim 3, Bar-Niv teaches monitoring data received during a time period of normal operating power consumption [106 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 11-15 and 29-32, power is supplied to transceiver circuitry when ENERGYON is at level 1], and upon detecting the presence of a received signal [LINK\_ON] for the first predetermined time, controlling the transceiver state machine to regulate transceiver power consumption to be at normal operating power [100 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 11-19 and 29-32, power is supplied to transceiver circuitry when ENERGYON is at level 1].

Art Unit: 2116

Regarding claim 4, Bar-Niv teaches monitoring data received includes comparing a received data signal [differential voltage, column 4, lines 25-46] from the communications network with a reference signal [300 mV, column 4, lines 25-46] and controlling the transceiver state machine when a magnitude of the received data signal exceeds the reference signal [column 2, lines 50-67].

Regarding claim 5, Bar-Niv teaches monitoring data received during a time period of minimized operating power consumption [104 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 16-20 and 29-32, transceiver circuitry is powered down when ENERGYON is at level 0], and upon detecting the absence of a received signal for the first predetermined time, controlling the transceiver state machine to regulate transceiver power consumption to be at minimized operating power [104 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 21-32, transceiver circuitry is powered down when ENERGYON is at level 0].

Regarding claim 11, Bar-Niv teaches monitoring data received during a time period of minimized power consumption [104 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 16-20 and 29-32, transceiver circuitry is powered down when ENERGYON is at level 0], and upon detecting the presence of a received signal for a predetermined standby time, controlling the transceiver state machine to regulate transceiver power consumption to be at normal operating power [100 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 21-32, power is supplied to transceiver circuitry when ENERGYON is at level 1].

Regarding claim 12, Bar-Niv teaches monitoring data received during a time period of minimized power consumption [104 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 16-20 and 29-32, transceiver circuitry is powered down when ENERGYON is at level 0], and upon detecting the

Application/Control Number: 09/886,859 Page 5

Art Unit: 2116

presence of a received signal for a second predetermined time subsequent to the predetermined standby time, controlling the transceiver state machine to regulate transceiver power consumption to be at minimized operating power [100 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 21-32, power is supplied to transceiver circuitry when ENERGYON is at level 1].

Regarding claims 13-17 and 23-24, Bar-Niv, as described above, teaches a method of regulating transceiver power consumption in a communications network. Therefore, Bar-Niv also teaches the apparatus which performs the method.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-10 and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bar-Niv, US Patent no. 6,442,142. in view of, Uppunda et al., US Patent no. 6,678,728.

Regarding claim 6, Bar-Niv, as described above, teaches a method of regulating transceiver power consumption in a communications network. Bar-Niv does not teach controlling the transceiver to transmit link determination signals to devices on the communications network when the transceiver is in a power-down mode. Uppunda et al. teaches transmitting link signals [keep-alive packets, column 1, lines 25-29 and column 3, lines

Art Unit: 2116

40-42] to other devices on the network while in a powered down state [sleep state, column 1, lines 20-29 and column 3, lines 40-42].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Bar-Niv and Uppunda et al. Periodically transferring link signals from a first device that is in a sleep state to other devices on the network indicates to the other devices on the network that the first device is still connected to the network, even though it is idle [Uppunda et al., column 1, lines 20-29].

Regarding claim 7, Uppunda et al., as described above, teaches periodically transferring link signals to other devices on the network while in a sleep state. Uppunda et al. further teaches exiting the sleep state only when wake up packets are received from other devices on the network [column 3, lines 48-56]. Therefore, Uppunda et al. teaches transmitting link signals to other devices on the network while in a sleep mode and then remaining in sleep mode if no wake packets have been received from the network.

Regarding claim 8, Uppunda et al., as described above, teaches that, when in sleep mode, a first device periodically sends link signals to other devices on the network to indicate that it is still connected to the network. Uppunda et al. further teaches that before transferring data to the first device from a second device on the network, the second device must check that the first device is connected to the network [column 1, lines 12-25]. The second device only sends data to the first device when it is determined that the first device is connected to the network. Since the link signals are used to indicate to the network that the first device is connected to the network, the second device would send data to the first device in response to the link signals.

Page 6

Page 7

Art Unit: 2116

Regarding claim 9, Uppunda et al., as described above, teaches periodically transferring link signals to other devices on the network while in a sleep state. Uppunda et al. further teaches exiting the sleep state when wake up packets are received from other devices on the network [column 3, lines 48-56]. Therefore, Uppunda et al. teaches transmitting link signals to other devices on the network while in a sleep mode and then exiting the sleep mode when wake packets have been received from the network.

Regarding claim 10, Uppunda et al., as described above, teaches that, when in sleep mode, a first device periodically sends link signals to other devices on the network to indicate that it is still connected to the network. Uppunda et al. further teaches that before transferring data to the first device from a second device on the network, the second device must check that the first device is connected to the network [column 1, lines 12-25]. The second device only sends data to the first device when it is determined that the first device is connected to the network. Since the link signals are used to indicate to the network that the first device is connected to the network, the second device would send data to the first device in response to the link signals.

Regarding claims 18-22, Bar-Niv and Uppunda et al., as described above, teach a method of regulating transceiver power consumption in a communications network. Therefore, Bar-Niv and Uppunda et al. also teach the apparatus which performs the method.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2116

Wey et al., US Patent no. 6,215,764, teaches controlling power of a network device

according to the status of a detected network link state.

Gannage et al., US Patent no. 6,691,233, teaches powering down a transceiver when no

data is received for a predetermined time.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Paul B Yanchus whose telephone number is (703) 305-8022. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lynne H Browne can be reached on (703) 308-1159. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Yanchus April 26, 2004 LYNNE H. BROWNE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER<del>9800-</del> 2/90

Page 8